

Literature Review

Department of Government
London School of Economics and Political Science

1 Scientific “Literatures”

1 Scientific “Literatures”

What is a literature?

- Definition: A accumulated body of written work that collectively constitutes knowledge in a specific field of study.
 - Basically: *what we know and don't know*
 - In philosophy, sometimes “the canon”

What is a literature?

- Definition: A accumulated body of written work that collectively constitutes knowledge in a specific field of study.
 - Basically: *what we know and don't know*
 - In philosophy, sometimes “the canon”
- All research builds on “the literature”

What is a literature?

- Definition: A accumulated body of written work that collectively constitutes knowledge in a specific field of study.
 - Basically: *what we know and don't know*
 - In philosophy, sometimes “the canon”
- All research builds on “the literature”
- All research should contribute to “the literature”

What is a literature?

What is a literature?

- How do we decide what individual pieces of research fall within “the literature”?

What is a literature?

- How do we decide what individual pieces of research fall within “the literature”?
- Any literature is amorphous and ultimately individually and socially constructed

What is a literature?

- How do we decide what individual pieces of research fall within “the literature”?
- Any literature is amorphous and ultimately individually and socially constructed
 - “The literature” is what is relevant to your research

What is a literature?

- How do we decide what individual pieces of research fall within “the literature”?
- Any literature is amorphous and ultimately individually and socially constructed
 - “The literature” is what is relevant to your research
 - Others may disagree with your definition of what research is relevant versus irrelevant

Organizing Literature

There are a few broad ways that we might identify “a literature”:

- 1 Research using shared concepts
- 2 Research using shared theory
- 3 Research using shared data sources
- 4 Research using shared methods of analysis
- 5 Research by the same author(s)/team(s)

I. Concepts

- Studies on a common concept, e.g.:
 - Trust
 - Negative advertising
 - Economic growth
 - Democratization
 - Justice
 - etc.

I. Concepts

- Studies on a common concept, e.g.:
 - Trust
 - Negative advertising
 - Economic growth
 - Democratization
 - Justice
 - etc.
- Individual studies may have little in common except for the concept at focus in the study

II. Theory

- Studies working from a given theoretical perspective, e.g.:
 - Rational choice
 - Marxism
 - Feminism

II. Theory

- Studies working from a given theoretical perspective, e.g.:
 - Rational choice
 - Marxism
 - Feminism
 - Epigenetics
 - Prospect theory
 - Theory of Planned Behaviour

II. Theory

- Studies working from a given theoretical perspective, e.g.:
 - Rational choice
 - Marxism
 - Feminism
 - Epigenetics
 - Prospect theory
 - Theory of Planned Behaviour
- Individual studies may have little in common except for the broad theory stance

III. Data

- Studies working with a particular type or source of data, e.g.:
 - Area Studies
 - British Politics
 - African Politics
 - The 2015 British Election Study
 - The Comparative Manifesto Dataset

III. Data

- Studies working with a particular type or source of data, e.g.:
 - Area Studies
 - British Politics
 - African Politics
 - The 2015 British Election Study
 - The Comparative Manifesto Dataset
- Individual studies may have little *substantively* in common

IV. Methods

- Studies working with particular methods, e.g.:
 - Ethnography
 - Text analysis
 - Experimentation
 - Elite interviewing
 - Surveys

IV. Methods

- Studies working with particular methods, e.g.:
 - Ethnography
 - Text analysis
 - Experimentation
 - Elite interviewing
 - Surveys
- Individual studies may have little in common except empirics
 - Often norms or “best practices” in the application of particular methods, regardless of research context

V. Authors

- Studies conducted by a given author or network of authors, e.g.:

V. Authors

- Studies conducted by a given author or network of authors, e.g.:
 - Kahneman and Tversky

V. Authors

- Studies conducted by a given author or network of authors, e.g.:
 - Kahneman and Tversky
 - Mansbridge

V. Authors

- Studies conducted by a given author or network of authors, e.g.:
 - Kahneman and Tversky
 - Mansbridge
 - LIGO collaboration

V. Authors

- Studies conducted by a given author or network of authors, e.g.:
 - Kahneman and Tversky
 - Mansbridge
 - LIGO collaboration
 - The Sidanius Lab

V. Authors

- Studies conducted by a given author or network of authors, e.g.:
 - Kahneman and Tversky
 - Mansbridge
 - LIGO collaboration
 - The Sidanius Lab
 - “The Michigan School”

V. Authors

- Studies conducted by a given author or network of authors, e.g.:
 - Kahneman and Tversky
 - Mansbridge
 - LIGO collaboration
 - The Sidanius Lab
 - “The Michigan School”
- Often an author or team will produce multiple works on a theme over time, using common concepts, theory, methods, and data

V. Authors

- Studies conducted by a given author or network of authors, e.g.:
 - Kahneman and Tversky
 - Mansbridge
 - LIGO collaboration
 - The Sidanius Lab
 - “The Michigan School”
- Often an author or team will produce multiple works on a theme over time, using common concepts, theory, methods, and data
- Rivalries!

Putting it all together

- Think of these organizing frameworks like a Venn Diagram, where each feature can overlap
- A literature is the subset of the complete diagram that is relevant to a particular piece of research

Finding Literature

Question: How do you find literature?

Finding Literature

- Library or Google Scholar search
- Talk to faculty members and peers
- Research syntheses
- Journals
 - Generalist
 - Subfield
- Citation networks

Citation Networks

- A citation network is the set of unidirectional connections formed by “co-citation” (i.e. one piece of research citing another piece of research)

Citation Networks

- A citation network is the set of unidirectional connections formed by “co-citation” (i.e. one piece of research citing another piece of research)
- Citations reflect:

Citation Networks

- A citation network is the set of unidirectional connections formed by “co-citation” (i.e. one piece of research citing another piece of research)
- Citations reflect:
 - Authors’ positioning a piece of research within a literature

Citation Networks

- A citation network is the set of unidirectional connections formed by “co-citation” (i.e. one piece of research citing another piece of research)
- Citations reflect:
 - Authors’ positioning a piece of research within a literature
 - “Positive” citation to research they wish to expand upon, elaborate, or praise

Citation Networks

- A citation network is the set of unidirectional connections formed by “co-citation” (i.e. one piece of research citing another piece of research)
- Citations reflect:
 - Authors’ positioning a piece of research within a literature
 - “Positive” citation to research they wish to expand upon, elaborate, or praise
 - “Negative” citation to research they wish to criticize

Citation Networks

Problems with using citation networks to understand a literature include:

Citation Networks

Problems with using citation networks to understand a literature include:

- Limited numbers of citations (can't cite everything!)

Citation Networks

Problems with using citation networks to understand a literature include:

- Limited numbers of citations (can't cite everything!)
- Positive/negative citation ambiguity
 - “Network centrality” only reflect volume of use, not quality

Citation Networks

Problems with using citation networks to understand a literature include:

- Limited numbers of citations (can't cite everything!)
- Positive/negative citation ambiguity
 - “Network centrality” only reflect volume of use, not quality
- Intentional omission of relevant research

Citation Networks

Problems with using citation networks to understand a literature include:

- Limited numbers of citations (can't cite everything!)
- Positive/negative citation ambiguity
 - “Network centrality” only reflect volume of use, not quality
- Intentional omission of relevant research
- Self-citation

Citation Networks

Problems with using citation networks to understand a literature include:

- Limited numbers of citations (can't cite everything!)
- Positive/negative citation ambiguity
 - “Network centrality” only reflect volume of use, not quality
- Intentional omission of relevant research
- Self-citation
- *Forward* citation search can be difficult

Research Synthesis

- Definition: A research synthesis is a formal review of existing literature that accumulates evidence from multiple studies.

Research Synthesis

- Definition: A research synthesis is a formal review of existing literature that accumulates evidence from multiple studies.
 - *Qualitative* literature review
 - *Quantitative* literature review (meta-analysis)

Research Synthesis

- Definition: A research synthesis is a formal review of existing literature that accumulates evidence from multiple studies.
 - *Qualitative* literature review
 - *Quantitative* literature review (meta-analysis)
- Serves two functions:
 - Summary of existing knowledge
 - Identification of limitations or gaps

Qualitative Review

- A narrative summary of a body of research, organized around a concept, a theory, a method, a data source, or an author
 - Usually covers a specific period of time
 - May focus on studies from a particular context, or particular perspective

Qualitative Review

- A narrative summary of a body of research, organized around a concept, a theory, a method, a data source, or an author
 - Usually covers a specific period of time
 - May focus on studies from a particular context, or particular perspective
- Structure and content is flexible
 - May merely summarize
 - May be “critical”

Quantitative Review

- A numerical summary of a body of research, organized around a specific statistic of interest (e.g., a prevalence, a correlation, a causal effect)
 - Also called “meta-analysis”

Quantitative Review

- A numerical summary of a body of research, organized around a specific statistic of interest (e.g., a prevalence, a correlation, a causal effect)
 - Also called “meta-analysis”
- Structure and content is not flexible

Quantitative Review

- A numerical summary of a body of research, organized around a specific statistic of interest (e.g., a prevalence, a correlation, a causal effect)
 - Also called “meta-analysis”
- Structure and content is not flexible
- Provides a specific inference with the intent to guide new research or inform policy

Quantitative Review

Structure is nearly always the same:

- 1 A body of existing studies is gathered using inclusion/exclusion criteria
- 2 Statistic of interest is derived for each study
- 3 Statistics are mathematically aggregated (by some form of weighted averaging)
- 4 Patterns are examined across between-study sources of variation
- 5 An overall estimate is produced

Qualitative versus Quantitative Synthesis

- Remember: All quantitative synthesis is also qualitative
- Solely qualitative synthesis will tend to be more holistic
- Solely quantitative synthesis will tend to provide less critical engagement with specific aspects of individual studies
- Quantitative synthesis typically makes evidence selection criteria more explicit

Evaluating Research

In groups of 3, try to generate a set of criteria that you can use to decide whether to believe a given piece of research.

You have 6 minutes.

Your Research

Your Research

- 1 All research should start from a literature

Your Research

- 1 All research should start from a literature
- 2 Identify which literature or literatures you want to address

Your Research

- 1 All research should start from a literature
- 2 Identify which literature or literatures you want to address
- 3 Develop a critical literature review of existing evidence
 - Identify ways you could contribute new knowledge

How does research add to a literature?

How does research add to a literature?

- 1 Answering unanswered questions

How does research add to a literature?

- 1 Answering unanswered questions
- 2 Applying theory to new cases

How does research add to a literature?

- 1 Answering unanswered questions
- 2 Applying theory to new cases
- 3 Elaborating more specific implications of theories

How does research add to a literature?

- 1 Answering unanswered questions
- 2 Applying theory to new cases
- 3 Elaborating more specific implications of theories
- 4 Developing new measures of concepts

How does research add to a literature?

- 1 Answering unanswered questions
- 2 Applying theory to new cases
- 3 Elaborating more specific implications of theories
- 4 Developing new measures of concepts
- 5 Developing new or better concept definitions

How does research add to a literature?

- 1 Answering unanswered questions
- 2 Applying theory to new cases
- 3 Elaborating more specific implications of theories
- 4 Developing new measures of concepts
- 5 Developing new or better concept definitions
- 6 New, improved, and/or alternative methodology

How does research add to a literature?

- 1 Answering unanswered questions
- 2 Applying theory to new cases
- 3 Elaborating more specific implications of theories
- 4 Developing new measures of concepts
- 5 Developing new or better concept definitions
- 6 New, improved, and/or alternative methodology
- 7 Studying alternative units of analysis

Your Research

- 1 All research should start from a literature
- 2 Identify which literature or literatures you want to address
- 3 Develop a critical literature review of existing evidence
 - Identify ways you could contribute new knowledge

Your Research

- 1 All research should start from a literature
- 2 Identify which literature or literatures you want to address
- 3 Develop a critical literature review of existing evidence
 - Identify ways you could contribute new knowledge
- 4 Develop theory and design empirical methods using and/or improving existing theory and methods
 - Focus on improving **one** thing

Questions?

